### How to guide: Assessing, arranging and confirming capability and capacity

Capacity and capability (C&C) assessment is the method by which sites consider whether they can take on a new study. In some cases this needs to be a formal assessment and confirmation, in other cases an email may suffice, or in others the Health Research Authority (HRA) may state that the study can proceed without C&C unless the site indicates any objection. Regarding C&C for Participant Identification Centre (PIC) activity, please see the 'Understanding the role of PICs' guide, and the below PIC section.

This guide outlines the ways in which practices should undertake the appropriate Assess, Arrange and Confirm Capacity and Capability stage of site agreement when setting up a study.

See also HRA guidance on Assess, arrange and confirm terminology.

### Key information you should be aware of:

- West Yorkshire Research & Development (WY R&D) issues an advisory email for studies of which we have had sight, to assist practices with completing their review. Practices can request a copy of this advisory from WY R&D or from the study team.
- Research governance is conducted centrally by the HRA. The HRA issues HRA approval which incorporates ethical review.
- Each participating site must assess, arrange and confirm their capability and capacity to participate, dependent on the requirements of the individual study.
- The decision to participate, and the agreement should be at the level of the participating organisation, i.e usually at the level of the practice, unless the PCN or federation is the participating organisation, in which case the decision and agreement can be made by the PCN or federation. There must be a contractual relationship between the PCN or federation and the practice to allow the federation to conduct research on behalf of the practice.
- It is important to note that the processes confirmed in the HRA approval letter must be followed as formal confirmation or signing of agreements is legally necessary where indicated in this letter.

#### Highlights

- What can happen before approval
- Process following approval
- How to assess
- How to arrange
- How to confirm

#### See also

- Getting your practice ready to do research guide
- Roles and terminology guide
- Principal Investigator guide
- Data guide

### Full guide

#### Pre-HRA approval

- Sponsors are encouraged to liaise with potential sites as early as possible in the process of developing their study. This may be before HRA approval for the study has been received or even applied for.
- At the pre-approval stage, sites can carry out a feasibility review of the study documents, but should be aware that the documents cannot be considered final until HRA approval is confirmed to the study team.
- A patient could be involved to discuss study feasibility, but no patient recruitment can usually take place until the study is approved.

- The next step in the process is that the study team will receive a HRA approval letter.
- When the HRA approval letter is received, it will confirm expectations related to confirmation of capacity and capability (in the 'Information to support study setup' table at the end of the letter).
- This will include stating whether formal confirmation of C&C (capability and capacity) is required, and whether the study intends to use the Organisation Information Document (OID) as the site agreement.
- You should ask for a copy of the letter and check this table first to inform what you need to do next.
- WY R&D should also have the opportunity to issue an advisory email which will give advice on next steps in assessing, arranging and confirming C&C.
- If formal confirmation of C&C is required, see below for detail of the steps.

Assess		

Further to any initial feasibility assessment done at the Expression of Interest (EOI) stage, this is the main and formal feasibility assessment. When formally confirming your capacity & capability, and/or confirming the OID or contract, this is where you commit to the study, so this assessment should be more in-depth. Depending on the study commitment, this may be quick (for example if just a staff survey), or may require more consideration.

At this stage, you should have from the study team:

- A copy of the study protocol and local documents (the Local Information Pack).
- A copy of the Organisation Information Document or study contract.

An advisory email will have been sent to the study team from WY R&D, and you can request a copy of this from the study team or from WY R&D.

At the "assess" stage you should review the study protocol and/or OID/contract and consider the following:

Area	Things to consider	Specific questions to ask
Suitable Investigator & Site Personal identified The Ready for Review and HRA Approval letters will confirm if a Principal Investigator (PI), a Local Collaborator or neither is required at participating organisations. Where a PI is required, the participating organisation has identified a suitable investigator.	'Suitable' defined as a named investigator taking into account his/her professional qualification, knowledge of research field, expertise in the procedures involved, previous research experience, training in research methods (including informed consent), training in Good Clinical Practice (if applicable) and ability to take clinical responsibility for local research team. Any personal involvement of site personnel with the	Do you have suitable staff who can give enough time to the study; can they be released to work on the study? Are they engaged and interested in the research? Do they have the required skills? Has a suitable and willing PI been appointed (from the practice team?) Do any of the staff have any conflicts e.g. with other roles,
Study Follow-up	sponsor or funder have been declared The clinical research staff or participating organisation have the capacity to support all study follow up as required	personal relationships? Are you aware of the extent of follow-up activity – will there be ongoing commitments after recruitment? (e.g. follow up appointments, data from the clinical system)
GCP Training	All staff that require GCP training have accredited/appropriate training e.g. NIHR or similar standard	Have the staff had GCP or other required training?
Appropriate Equipment available		Does the study require any specific equipment and does the practice have this available?
Safety	The requirements for reporting to the sponsor on progress and pharmacovigilance Local arrangements for handling of Investigational	Do you understand what reporting is required? Are there specific considerations for handling the
Local Informed consent needs	Medicinal Product (IMP) Obtaining consent in accordance with the study protocol while considering the needs of participants who may not adequately understand verbal explanations or information written in English	Investigational Medicinal Product? Are there any concerns about your population engaging with the informed consent process, e.g. language needs. Any translated versions required are the responsibility of the study team.
Training	The participating organisation can accommodate training format required by the Sponsor e.g. online, face to face or routine briefings within the specified time frame required by the Sponsor	Do you know what site initiation/training will be provided by the study team and are you able to accommodate this? (e.g. consider timing, face to face vs virtual).

HR	Local Human resource requirements to ensure that	Have you reviewed the study and ensured that the staff
TIN	staff only undertake study specific activities that are	working on the study meet the study requirements (e.g.
	appropriate to the job and competencies of the	qualified doctor, nurse, prescriber)?
	individual, and that appropriate supervision will be	Are there any external staff involved in the study at the
	provided as required. This may include arrangements	practice – these should be referred to West Yorkshire R&D for
	to issue letters of access or an Honorary contract	a letter of access.
	where participants will be seen by non-NHS	u letter of uccess.
	Organisation employees	
Description and Target		How many participants does the study wish to reary it from
Recruitment Target	Based on the projected study timelines there are	How many participants does the study wish to recruit from
	sufficient numbers of potential participants meeting	the practice? Do you have enough eligible patients (bearing
	the inclusion/exclusion criteria when taking into	in mind that you will not manage to get every eligible patient
	account any competing trials currently open or	to agree to take part)?
	planned to open at the participating organisation to	Are there other studies which would be seeking to recruit the
	minimise the potential for inadequate patient	same participants? Could this be a potential conflict?
	recruitment or non-completion of research	
Suitability of site	Suitability of participating organisation facilities	If being asked to undertake activities which are not part of
	including; adequacy of facilities for any novel	standard clinical practice, are your
	procedures or for procedures not part of existing	rooms/facilities/equipment suitable? Do you have enough
	clinical activity; availability and access to resources,	space/equipment so that taking part in the research will not
	facilities, equipment and storage considering impact	impact on delivery of standard care?
	on current levels of use for non-research activities;	
	and considering Sponsor quality expectations.	
	Availability of work space for sponsor team	
	monitoring if required	
Indemnity	The HRA ensures that the sponsor has appropriate	General practices' delivery of research is now covered by
	insurance for the design and management of the	CNSGP ( <u>https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-</u>
	research. It is then a sponsor responsibility to assure	research/best-practice/nhs-site-set-up-in-
	itself that each individual site has appropriate	england/frequently-asked-questions-about-research/)
	insurance for the delivery of the research. For NHS	
	sites, this is inherent and derived from NHS Litigation	
	Authority. For non NHS sites and independent	
	contractors, acting as a site, they will need to arrange	
	suitable indemnity themselves, which should be	
	reviewed and deemed appropriate by the sponsor.	

Guidance [first two columns] provided by the NIHR Clinical Research Network (now Research Delivery Network: RDN) (<u>https://www.rdforum.nhs.uk/content/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/UTF-8CRN-principles-for-local-capacity-and-capa.pdf</u>)

At this stage, the practice should put any necessary arrangements in place to deliver the study. Even when studies don't require formal confirmation of C&C, there may be some arrangement required.

Please note that, if the study requires software to be added to the practice computers, this usually requires involvement from IT and you can seek advice from WY R&D.

The practice should consider each of the following arrangements, although these will vary by study:

Financial arrangements	<ul> <li>The financial arrangements applicable to the participating organisation are adequately described, i.e. the practice has full information/confirmation about which organisations will be paying for which costs. Any costs that the practice incurs should be covered by one of the following: <ul> <li>Service support costs are paid by the RRDN, so the practice may wish to see the confirmation that these costs are covered (this may be included in the site/PIC agreement). This must be agreed by the local RRDN as well as the overall agreement at study level</li> <li>Research costs are paid by the study, so should be detailed in the site/PIC agreement</li> <li>Excess treatment costs are paid under national arrangements – see guidance for details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Internal arrangements	Suitable arrangements for permissions/peripheral clinics/access when study involves NHS patients external to the participating organisation (e.g. another NHS Organisation).
Emergency procedures	Emergency procedures that may be necessary are conducted at the participating organisation in accordance with the protocol (e.g. to protect the participant in the event of a life-threatening incident or adverse event).
Local contact information	Where applicable the participant is clear about the local address and telephone number (usually included in the patient information), additionally contact details of the local investigator(s), and if applicable, other members of the research team, e.g. research nurses, Emergency contact information, if appropriate, contact information for complaints and, where appropriate, independent advisors.
Archiving and storage arrangements	Storage of data during the study and any post study archiving arrangements.
HRA guidance	The HRA Approved protocol and any guidance provided in the HRA Approval letter are adhered to. This includes, but is not limited to, any patient consent, Information Governance, Human Tissue Act, and Mental Capacity Act arrangements. Radiation The Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations and the Medicines (Administration of Radioactive Substances) Regulations.
Fraud and Misconduct	Local systems in place for dealing with fraud and misconduct.

Guidance provided by the NIHR Clinical Research Network (now Research Delivery Network: RDN)

(https://www.rdforum.nhs.uk/content/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/UTF-8CRN-principles-for-local-capacity-and-capa.pdf)

## Confirm

At this stage, the practice confirms its participation (and confirms they are ready to start). The ways of doing this are varied depending on the study – this is confirmed in the HRA approval letter.

Involvement	HRA letter	What the practice must do
	wording	
PIC	Expectations related to confirmation of capacity and capability PIC activities should not commence until a PIC Agreement is in place. HRA and HCRW recommend use of the standard Participating NHS Organisation to PIC agreement available here.	The HRA letter details the type of agreement the study is using. For a PIC, the HRA recommends that the model PIC agreement is used. This agreement is made between the practice and the site where the research activity is taking place.
No formal confirmation required	Expectations related to confirmation of capacity and capability Organisations will not be required to formally confirm capacity and capability, and research procedures may begin seven days after provision of the local information pack,	This is usually only for studies with minimal "ask" for practices, or urgent public health studies where it is expected that all practices will participate. The research can proceed without agreement from the practice, after the length of time detailed in the HRA letter (in this example seven days).
	provided the following conditions are met.	<ul> <li>It is subject to the following conditions (as well as any others detailed in the letter)</li> <li>HRA approval has been received</li> <li>Practices have been notified about the study</li> <li>Practices can also object or request more time to consider their participation.</li> <li>If a practice does not wish to participate, they must give the</li> </ul>
		sponsor a reason. If a practice positively confirms they can participate, then the study can proceed earlier than the stated length of time. Guidance can be read here: <u>Where no C&amp;C is required</u>
Practices required to formally assess, arrange and confirm their	Expectations related to confirmation of capacity and capability	The letter should also detail the method by which the practice will confirm C&C.
participation	Research activities should not commence at participating NHS organisations in England or Wales prior to their formal confirmation of	Formal written confirmation must happen before any research activity can take place.
	capacity and capability to deliver the study.	This doesn't mean wet ink signed contracts – in many cases a simple email will be acceptable as confirmation.

The next column in the table indicates the agreement to be used to confirm participation. See also the guide for contracts. Although the OID or the model agreement are the preferred contracts, you will need to use the contract which has been submitted and agreed by the HRA.

Most commonly, the standard agreements are used:

Agreement	An authorised member of staff from the practice should check through the
to be used	
	Localised Organisation Information Document (OID). This should detail what is
	required by the study, and all of the fields marked with a * should be completed by
	the study team, outlining the requirements. This should include start and finish
An	
Organisation	dates, recruitment target, equipment and training requirements. The appendices
Information	detail any financial provisions, how materials will be shared and data
Document has been	
submitted	processing/sharing arrangements.
and the	Items marked with a ^ are to be completed by the practice; then the OID should be
sponsor is	
not requesting	returned by email from an authorised signatory (wet ink signatures are not
and does not	expected).
expect any	
other site	The Local OID is not a final document presented to the practice, but is to be
agreement	negotiated and agreed between the practice and the study. Here is where you can
to be used.	
1 1	agree targets, finances and local arrangements.

An unmodified site agreement can also be used:

Agreement to be used		<u>Unmodified agreements</u> have been negotiated and reviewed by legal teams centrally, so practices can be assured that they can sign up to these, provided they have reviewed and agree to the study detail in the appendices.
Organisational Information Documents and respective Schedules of	Agreement to be used	The PIC agreement is appropriate when acting as a Participant Identification Centre (PIC) [see Understanding the role of PICs guide]. It is an agreement between the practice and the research site (often a hospital trust).
Events have been submitted for each site type; the sponsor is also	HRA and HCRW recommend use of the standard Participating NHS	The person signing the agreement must be an appropriately delegated member of staff from the practice.
intending to use a separate site agreement. The agreement is unmodified.	Organisation to PIC agreement.	An electronic signature will often be acceptable with agreement from the Sponsor. The signed copy should be kept in the site file (either hard copy or electronic)

Modified/bespoke agreements are not recommended but can be used if agreed by the HRA:

Organisation information document submitted but a separate agreement will be used.	It should be specified in the HRA letter where the Sponsor has used a modified or bespoke agreement.
An organisation information document has been submitted and the sponsor is intending to use a separate site agreement.	Where non-standard/modified agreements are used, the practice may wish to seek legal advice, but at the very least should carefully check through the agreement terms to make sure they are happy with these.
The sponsor is using a bespoke agreement. The HRA and HCRW take no position on the acceptability of these changes. Participating NHS organisations should now determine its acceptability and liaise with the sponsor to confirm the content of the agreement.	

Sometimes, different parts of the study are subject to different agreements.

has been submitted for Phases A and B and the sponsor is not requesting and does not expect any other site agreement to be used	Agreement to be used PICs - Sponsor has confirmed that a relevant PIC agreement will be in place between the PIC and the NHS research site they will act as PICs for. Research sites - An Organisation information Document has been submitted and the sponsor is intending to use a	When different parts of the study are mentioned, the practice should confirm which parts of the study are applicable to them. The detail should be found in the OID, but if not sure you should clarify with the study team and receive confirmation in writing where possible.
	separate site agreement.	

	Expectations related to confirmation of capacity and capability	Agreement to be used
identify and invite potential participants and research clinics will be held to carry out research	1. Formal confirmation. Research activities should not commence at participating NHS organisations in England or Wales prior to their formal confirmation of capacity and capability to deliver the study.	1. Organisational information document acts as the agreement An organisational information document has been submitted and the sponsor is not requesting and does not expect
	2. The participating NHS organisation is also the study sponsor. You should work with your sponsor R&D office to make arrangements to	any other site agreement to be used. 2. No agreement is expected.

## Other document types

Studies which started a number of years ago may try to use older documents. The SSI (Site specific information) and Statement of Activities are now out of date so can no longer be used for confirming participation. The study team should be directed to

<u>https://www.myresearchproject.org.uk/help/hlpsitespecific.aspx#UK-Local-Information-Pack-Transition</u> to replace these documents with the current ones.

## Further advice and examples of setting up studies in primary care

You can read more about study setup in primary care settings, including examples, at <u>https://s3.eu-west-</u> <u>2.amazonaws.com/www.hra.nhs.uk/media/documents/HRA Approval in Primary Care Settings Principles</u> <u>of Study Set-Up Version 2.0 09Mar17.pdf</u> (note that this document contains some old references but can be taken as the most recent guidance).

# **Glossary of Acronyms and Terms**

- C&C Capacity and Capability
- RDN Research Delivery Network
- HRA Health Research Authority
- OID Organisation Information Document
- PI Principal Investigator
- PIC Participant Identification Centre
- SSI Site Specific Information (form)